

Sir:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Todd A. HALL et al.) Group Art Unit: 3733
Application No.: 10/625,536)) Examiner: DAVIS, DANIEL J
Filed: July 24, 2003)) Confirmation No.: 8209))
For: STENT DELIVERY SYSTEM AND METHOD OF USE	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In an Office Action mailed June 30, 2006, the period for response extending to January 2, 2007 (December 30, 2006 is a Saturday and January 1, 2007 is a federal holiday), the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following groups of claims:

- I. Claims 69-82, 96-109, and 120-125 drawn to a method of use; and
- II. Claims 83-95 and 110-119, drawn to an apparatus.

Without necessarily agreeing with any of the assertions of conclusions set forth in the Office Action, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group I, claims 69-82, 96-109, and 120-125 drawn to a method of use, without traverse.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this Response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Application No. 10/625,536 Attorney Docket No. 07883.0005-06 Response to Restriction Requirement - December 19, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: December 19, 2006

By: Michael W. Kim

Reg. No. 51,880